Sample: Algorithms Quantitative Methods - Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic Algorithm

According to the fundamental theorem of arithmetic, we can write any integer k in the following form:

$$k = p_1^{\alpha_1} * p_2^{\alpha_2} * \dots * p_m^{\alpha_m} \tag{1}$$

Where $p_1, p_2, ..., p_m$ are primes and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_m$ are positive integers.

Arrangements of the prime factors in k follow all the rules of permutations with repetitions. Thus, number of arrangements of the prime factors is:

$$n(k) = \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_m)!}{\alpha_1! \, \alpha_2! \dots \, \alpha_m!} \tag{2}$$

The first observation we can make – number of arrangements does not depend on $p_1, p_2, ..., p_m$. Thus, if values of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_m$ are known we should just sort it in descending order and use the first m primes for $p_1, p_2, ..., p_m$ to get the smaller possible k (name this rule 1).

Example of using rule 1: if the powers are known to be $\{2, 5, 1\}$ the smallest corresponding k equals $2^5 * 3^2 * 5^1$ (2, 3 and 5 are the first 3 primes).

So, to solve the problem it is enough to find $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_m$ such that equation (2) holds.

When the rule 1 is used and sum of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_m$ is fixed (i.e., number of prime factors of k is fixed), the following is desirable (leads to smaller k). The value of α_1 is as large as possible, if α_1 reached its maximum, α_2 is as large as possible, etc. (name this rule 2).

Example of using rule 2: if sum of the powers is known to be 5 the smallest corresponding k equals 2^5 , when the second or third powers are not zero, we get larger k: $2^4 * 3^1$ or $2^2 * 3^2 * 5^1$.

The rule 2 explains how to solve the problem if number of prime factors of k is given. Try to find limits of this number. It is clear that the lower limit is 1 (when k is prime). The upper limit is to be found from equation (2).

Fix the sum: $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_m = \alpha$. Using properties of the factorial and the fact that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m \ge 1$ we can write:

$$\min_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_m} \frac{\alpha!}{\alpha_1! \ \alpha_2! \ldots \ \alpha_m!} = \min_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_m} \frac{\alpha!}{(\alpha - m + 1)! \ 1! \ 1! \ \ldots \ 1!} \ge \alpha$$

As a simple example, it is clear that:

$$\min_{1 \le i \le 5} \frac{5!}{i! \, (5-i)!} = \frac{5!}{4!} = 5$$

So, if we will choose $\alpha > n$, the equation (2) will newer hold.

Thus, range of the sums of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$ that is to be considered is $1 \dots n$.

Now, the algorithm can be described.

1. Obtain a list of prime numbers. For the particular task this step should be done as pre-calculation step (before the test values of n are entered) to save the running time.

The maximum prime to find is limited by the maximum k possible:

$$p_{max} \le \sqrt{k_{max}} = \sqrt{2^{63}} = 2^{31}\sqrt{2}$$

A good algorithm for this step is Sieve of Eratosthenes.

All the next steps are executed for each test separately (for each new n).

2. Find the set of integers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_m$ that satisfies (2) and (rule 2).

SUBMIT

```
    Use (rule 1) to find the minimum k.
    Pseudo-codes for each step separately are shown below.
```

program Step1

```
Set A[i] values to true, i = 1... p_{max}
for i = 2, 3, 4, ..., p_{max}
if A[i] is true
for j = i^2, i^2+i, i^2+2*i, ..., \le k_{max}
Set A[j] to false
End for
End if
End for
Declare PR for list of primes
Add 2 to PR
For i = 3, 5, 7, 9, ..., \le k_{max}
if A[i] is true
add i to PR
end if
```

end for

program Step2

```
declare a list of combinations \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_m – Alphas (it is just an empty list now, something like list of arrays)
```

```
for m = 1 to n
```

```
set currentCombination as array of m zeros.
for j = 1 to (n - m + 1)^m
        increment currentCombination(1)
        set i = 1
         while (currentCombination(i) > n-m+1)
                 set currentCombination(i) as 0;
                 increment currentCombination(i+1);
                 increment i
         end while
         (now currentCombination = \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_m)
        if (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_m \le n)
                 if equation (2) holds
                          sort the set (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_m) in non-increasing order
                          if \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m is not in Alphas
                                   add \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m to Alphas
                          end if
                 end if
         end if
end for
if Alphas is not empty
        break
```

SUBMIT

end if

end for

program Step3

Set the minimum k equal to k_{max}

for each combination in Alphas

sort $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$ in descending order

Set $p_1, p_2, ..., p_m$ as the firs m elements of PR

Set $k = p_1^{\alpha_1} * p_2^{\alpha_2} * ... * p_m^{\alpha_m}$

If (k < minimum k)

Set minimum k to be equal to k

End if

end for